16 May 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Rear Admiral Robert L. Demnison Naval Aide to the President

Herewith at long last is a unanimously agreed upon analysis and recommendations of Gordon Gravis report which you send me at the direction of the President.

I suggest that this analysis be sent to Mr. Staats of the Buress of the Budget for any additional comments which might be pertinent. I have talked this over with Stasts and this would render unnecessary a rather lengthy and similar analysis on which the Budget Bureau has been working.

毛打造的

WALTER B. SHITH

Enclosure ER 2-9131 (2 copies)

WBS/c Distribution: Orig & 1 -addressee

1 " " " to Secy Bruce (1 copy of basic report to DDCI to Secy Foster 1 to Adm. Souers

NSC review(s) completed.

l " " " to Mr. Staats Approved For Release 2005/04/28 : CIA RD 180 1731 R003300410052-8

AT: (PSB)25X1

16 May 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

In compliance with your instructions there is submitted herewith a general analysis of the report of Mr. Gordon Gray to you dated 22 February 1952, on the organization and work of the Psychological Strategy Board. This report with its conclusions and recommendations is concurred in by the three members of the Board.

In his analysis Mr. Gray reviews the events of the past few years which led to the creation of this new instrument of Government and traces the development and work of the Board since its inception. In addition, Mr. Gray has outlined the progress which has been made in resolving problems arising from the differences among the Government agencies concerned and in obtaining a concerted psychological effort.

The Roard concurs that the report should not be published.

In suggesting publication, Mr. Gray was undoubtedly motivated by the desire to obtain better public comprehension of the Government's psychological marfare efforts and to enlist public support in the solution of the problems involved. The merit of these suggestions is fully appreciated but the clear disadvantages from the disclosure of the sensitive and classified material in the report amply justify your decision not to publish it.

On the other hand, from its study of the report and in light of the present world situation, the Board feels that it would be helpful if at an appropriate opportunity you chose to restate to the country and to the world the principles and policies guiding our psychological effort in the cold war as well as the problems that are faced and the work that has so far been accomplished, including possible reference to the Board's relation to that work. Such a statement might elaborate upon certain of the most significant themes of Mr. Gray's report. It would provide an opportunity to clarify the thinking of certain segments of the American public and of our allies, who may not yet be fully persuaded of the scope and vigorous nature of American policy with respect to the cold war. This would do much to accomplish what we believe to have been Mr. Gray's objectives in suggesting publication of the report. It would also provide an opportunity for you publicly to acknowledge Mr. Gray's contribution to the work of the Board.

Mr. Gray has suggested four specific changes affecting the Board.
These are:

First, that the Director be made Chairman of the Board, possibly without a vote.

The title Director indicates a somewhat higher level of responsibility than was originally contemplated when the office was first created and the scope of the Director's duties has sometimes been misunderstood and deserves some clarification. However, the Director now sits with the Board, and as all proceedings are rather informal and decisions are based on unanimity of opinion, we believe on balance that no change in the composition of the Board need be made at this time. Arrangements have been made to rotate the duty of presiding officer, and for the Director to take his turn in that capacity. This will accomplish to some extent the purpose of Mr. Gray's recommendation, without conjuring up the objections which a more radical change might arouse.

Mr. Oray's second and third suggested changes contemplated that the Director sit with the National Security Council as needed, and that he informally report to the President at regular intervals. The latter suggestion involves your personal views and preferences. As to the former the Council has a tendency to grow, and you have had to trim it down once or twice already. Mr. Gray's purpose would be accomplished if the Director attended Council meetings as required and pursuant to invitation by the Council's Executive Secretary.

Mr. Gray's fourth proposed change is that a member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff should sit with the Board. Having one of the Joint Chiefs or its Chairman attend the Board meetings as a consultant and military advisor would simply be a strengthening of the representation of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, prescribed in your directive of h April 1951 organising the Board. If you approve this suggestion,

it may be accomplished by invitation to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefe of Staff as the needs of the Board require.

RECOMMENDATIONS

You have already taken the recommended action as regards nonpublication of the report.

With respect to the other suggestions in Mr. Gray's report,
the Board respectfully recommends that: (a) no change in organization be made at this time; (b) the Chairmanship of the Board be
retated with the Director taking his turn as presiding officer;
(c) the Director be called on to attend National Security Council
meetings as the needs of the Council require and at the invitation
of the Executive Secretary to the Council; and (d) you indicate to
the Joint Chiefs of Staff your approval of having the Chairman, or
one of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, meet with the Board as a consultant
and military advisor as the need arises.

SIGNED

WALTER B. SWITH Chairman Psychological Strategy Board Approved For Release 2005/04/28: CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410052-8

Thermo 3

MEPORT TO THE PRESIDENT

We fines today one of the great convalsions of history. The world in which we live is being changed by strong currents of thought and feeling — currents released by the American and French Revolutions in the 18th Contury, by the Industrial Revolution in the 19th and by two destructive were and the Russian Revolution in our can thus. This is no larger the world into which most of us were been. We may be sure that it will be a far different world before we die.

In this time of crisis and strees, the American nation has risen to a new role. We may speak of this role without vanity or self-consciousness because we did not seek it but rather tried to avoid it. Our role, as we have now expressed it in our national policies, is to help lead the nations through this time of turnoil in such a way that in the end there shall be an expansion — not a reduction — of the areas of freedom and knowledge. Expressed in another way, our role is to build a bridge over the abyes of confusion and frustration so that humanity may safely cross. If we can succeed in this role, the peoples of the world may be spared the sacrifies of human life and achievement which accompanied other great convulsions of history, and each nation may find release for its energies and genius in an era of peace and human dignity.

It will not be easy for us to play this role. For spart from the natural flow of historical forces, we know that the leaders of smother great power have determined to exploit the trials of this period to the full. Years ago the men in the Kreulin sensed the approach of this turning point in human affairs. Today they are working, scheming, to intensify the strains, compound the chace and ride the currents of nationalism, social unrest and despair to their ultimate goal of a world serving the ends of the Kreulin. Their strategy might be condensed into three words: Buin and rule.

Our reaction to this drive for world power was also, but when it came, it took the form of an idea. This idea — at first expressed in the Brunen Dostrine and the Marshall Flan — was busically as simple as this: America will help those who, believing in freedem, help themselves and help each other. Behind the force of this idea we put our economic and industrial strength. To the countries which showed a will to survive and to economic, we sent feed, machines to grow more feed, and still more machines to produce everything from shows to electricity. We sent them our technical experts to raise production in their factories and on their farms,

Approved For Release 2005/04/28 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410052-8

W

 $W_{i,j}$

to drain groups, to dem rivere, to drive out maleria and other diseases, to teach the three r's. When the allies and depos of the Breakin approad lies about this affort, we launched a compaign of truth over the air waves, in newspapers, in films, in public meetings. Then we belied our friends in the free world to raise a defunctive shield over this peaceful effort.

Our economic help, our information program, our defence effort — if wisely used — are indispensable parts of the American program for bridging this period of uphenval. But the relevitiese assault of the Bramlin upon the bridge has demonstrated the need of smother element. This missing element is an integrated psychological strategy.

There are practical and empelling reasons why we should make the fullest use of our resources in ideas and imagination, why we should make cartain that all our sacrifices are directed toward the attainment of alearly defined ends. We must think it terms of preserving our country's economic, as well as moral, fiber in order to continue successfully our role of leadership.

Our aim is peace — not war. Though a protective shield is necessary to peace in a world threatened with war, we cannot indefinitely pour out our resources for economic and military aid, and preserve our own strength. We must use our ingenuity to find less costly means to produce situations of strength which will reduce the possibilities of war and simultaneously serve to shorten the present conflict.

In doing so, we must make it clear to those who are our friends, and to those who would be our friends, that we not only abber militaristic imperialism, but also that we disclaim caltural and intellectual imperialism as well. The only rule we seek in the Golden Rule.

THE PACEGORIEND

Toward the end of the first World War, a Russian revolutionary leader conseived the idea of a kind of struggle which would be "neither war nor peace." That leader was later disposed of by his less inventive convedes, but they eventually found merit in his idea and received to adapt it to the conventive situation which would follow the second World War. Today the world known the meaning of their chairs — an assembly which stope short of general war, earried out under the clock of an unnatural peace.

That assault began, in fact, before the second World War was over. As the armies of the Western Allies advanced, the forces of international Communism set to work in their reer to poison the minds of the liberated against the liberators, to turn the free nations against each other, to seize positions of power, and to break jown the prestige of the United States. And while the victorious nations of the West were disbanding their armed forces, the Kramlin's men in every country were moving to battle stations in preparation for the "final struggle" so long foretold in Communist song and fable.

In blazing ourselves for what came after, we often sweriouk the fact that the leaders of Bolshevian had been training themselves in this kind of combat for a good half-sentury. Within their was country, they had graduated from the bard school of conspiracy and revolution. After their seisure of power in Bussia, their institutes of political warfare had schooled foreign fanaties in the techniques of infiltration, subversion and the conquest of power. Throughout the world they had built up naturals of agents who would have at the word of command to carry out an assessination or formant a civil war.

There was no great element of genius in the kremlin's effort, but that effort had mass and momentum and a fanatical persistence. And although Communism had lost much of its power to convert, the Soviets still retained ample power to confuse. The elassic rule of imperialism, "Divide and conquer" guided much of what they did. Matien against nation, race against race, man against mas— this was their stock in trade. They know, of course, how to take adventage of men's vices, but they found it just as prefitable to appeal to man's virtues. They twisted homest labor, shook down timid employees, lared unwary elements into furthering their strategy of confusion. They even found a way to use the word "peace" as a weapon of assembly.

The edvantage in warfare accrues to the aggressor. In the disillusionment, the weariness, the confusion of the post-war world,

the forces of Gummion educated confidently toward the ultimate and not by the Soules leaders -- a would responsive to the Kreulin.

Insultably the leadership of the assumited peoples imposed itself upon the United Status, for we were the one great power which had some out of the war with reserves of meral and unterial strength. But by temperament and by tradition we Americans were ill-fitted for this kind of straggle. We fight were the way we play football. We want to win, tour up the goal posts and then go ham. We found it hard in 1945 — we find it hard today — to comprehend that peace may be made an extension of war by other means. We called back our fighting men and returned them to their hames, thus exposing Europe and Asia to Soviet blackmail. We all but dimentiad our warting information services, thus opening the world to the Soviet lie." As a nation we tried to reverse time and rediscover the peaceful existence of the years before the war.

the responsibility of leadership which had come upon as.

pledged our support to Greece and Turkey, two nations which were helding the gates of the Near East in the face of increasing pressure from Communism. Then, when the Kremlin strategists shifted the weight of the Communist assault to Mestern Europe, we launched the Marshall Plan and brought tegether 16 nations to work for European recovery. With the aid of these nations we set Mestern Germany on the road to rehabilitation, and when the Soviete set siege to the free city of Berlin, we and our British allies improvised the airlift and seved that outpost of freedom. Next we moved to the aid of Ingeslavia, whose government had defied the Kremlin, and we were successful to this extent in ralling back the iron curtain. In the following years, together with our European allies, we began to raise a protective shield over the work of recovery.

Though we had started out without a long-suppe plan or bimsprint, the not result of all those efforts was a solid piece of construction. A wall against Communist aggression was exected from the Black Sea to the Morth Cape of Marway. Been more important for the long run, we and our allies had not great ideas in motion — the films of the Atlantic Community, of European Union, of a conlected. The Martin Durage, and of a European away.

Inlied in Burepo and the Boar Last, the Communist strategists burned the main fures of their assemble to Asia. Brow there, where known misery was great and the old order in decay, the power of Communism as so like had to be bashed by the force of arms and a specieus appeal to maticaalism. The Chinase Communist armies advanced

Approved For Release 2005/04/28 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410052-8

Mass

1-

seroes thins until they had conquered the mainland and stood at the gates of Southeast Asia. At the same time, Communist forces, ranging from generalla bands to mass trudes, brought terror to Indochina, Malaya, Burun, and the Philippines.

Then the North Ecrean Communists immeded an open attack upon the Republic of Kerea, a ward of the United Nations. This was a challenge to the United States in the first instance and to the free world as a whole. If it had not been squarely faced, it would have opened the floodgates of disaster in Asia. But the United States faced it, and, backed by the United Nations, repulsed the North Koreans and the Chinese Communists who had joined them. This military success may well have been a turning paint. It was supplemented by a great diplomatic achievement in the face of determined Soviet apposition—the conclusion of a peace treaty with Japan which restored that buy nation of Asia to the community of nations.

So in the five years which followed the second World War we could look back upon same successes and same failures. We would also look forward to further — and possibly greater — trials. Our seconds and defense programs, pursued in cooperation with our friends, were restoring economic health and raising confidence that prece could be maintained. Ict, at the same time, they were causing misgivings in many parts of the world because they seemed to same people to be manifestations of a new imperialism. In fact, the "recervoir of goodwill" for the United States which had existed in many countries was being seriously depleted.

THE CHICAGO OF THE NETCECLOCICAL STRATECT BOARD

Herly in 1951 a feeling developed within the V. S. government that we had to do more than we had done in the past to win and hold the confidence of our friends abroad and weaken the will of our enoughs.

This feeling was the result of an evolutionary process. In the departments and agencies of the government a great deal of reflection had been given to the lessons we had learned in the struggle and a general desire had developed to concert our efforts to better effect.

In the years which followed the war, a number of committees had been set up to coordinate the work of different departments and agencies in the information and propaganda fields. These committees had no authority, however, to deal with matters of broad policy or strategy. They could not, for commple, challenge decisions which might be economically or militarily sound but psychologically hareful. They worked some distance below the top in the chain of leadership and had little influence on policies and decisions. No committee or agency had the power to develop broad strategic ideas which would bring forth the highest capabilities of all agencies of government.

Furthermore, there was a diffusion of national power among departments, conscious of traditional compartmentation of interests and authority and on guard against intrusion in affairs felt to be their exclusive concern. The interdepartmental diffigulties and lack of unified leadership denied to the United States the full value and impact of her hold asts in recent years.

But what was the ensure to the problem? Has it possible to develop a strategic concept which would put more order and drive into all phases of our effort? And could we present our policies and acts in such a light that they would strike a responsive chord in the hearts and scale of men and make them feel that their cause was our cause?

In seeking an ensure to questions like these, some high efficials become someined that we needed the same kind of unified leadership as in a military struggle. Accordingly, they proposed the appearance of a sort of "chief of staff for the cold war" responsible directly to the President and Commenter-in-Chief. This chief of staff, with an advisory bound of high-level officials, would work out the bread strutogy, fix objectives and priorities, decide the rule of each government agency and direct the over-all national offert.

Pelel

Om

Others in the government found this proposal too redical. They believed there were sound peacens for the relea which tradition and the statutes had assigned to each government agency. In their epinton, the insertion of a "chief of staff? between the Freedom's and the departments would be a modiless complication, would probably do have to our system of government, and would give a verilies each to a peaceful mission. They suggested that a coordinating mechanism high up in the chain of commend or perhaps in one of the major departments might produce a more effective matical effort.

The directive which the President issued on April 4, 1951, was something of a compressed between these views. It did not appoint a chief of stell for the national psychological effort, but it did order some of the highest officers of the government to provide for "the more effective planning, coordination and conduct, within the framework of approved national policies, of psychological operations."

To accomplish this purpose, the President directed that the Under Secretary of State, the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence should serve as a Psychological Strategy Beard. Under them there would be a Director appointed by the President. The Director would have a permanent staff to help him earry out his responsibilities. A representative of the Joint Chiefs of Staff would sit with the Board as its principal military adviser.

The President's order made the Board responsible for the "formulation and promulgation...of over-all national psychological objectives, policies and programs, and for the coordination and evaluation of the national psychological effort." It was to report to the Matienal Security Council on its own activities and on the nativities of all agencies engaged in the effort to influence men's minds and wills.

This was a bread mendate. In setting "over-all national psychilogical objectives," the Board would identify exactly what we were taying to accomplish. Then it would draw up policies and progress to achieve those objectives. It would bring together all the government agencies which could play a part in such progress and find out what they could contribute. It would follow through and make sure that all the agencies were working together and dainy their part. It would constantly study the progress of these progress to inclusive other people in fever of our work for peace and freedom. It would report to the Estimal Security Council on these progress and the ever-all effects in the field of psychological strategy.

The Board would have an asube realization that every significant action in the field of foreign affairs by any governmental agency has on affect upon the minds and wills of mea. To maximize that affect the government must act in its different spheres according to a common plan which relates all actions together.

The President's directive did not put the Board into the field of operations. The Board would not, for example, manage the Voice of America or any of the information offices which the government had not up in other countries. It was to be a high-level group working in the field of broad strategy and coordination.

The first Director went to work on July 2, 1951. The Department of State, the Department of Defense and the Central Intelligence Agency lent him enough help to set up the nucleus of a staff. This staff was organised in this way:

- 1. An Office of Plans and Policy. This staff group works on broad strategic problems, defines the objectives which we should aim at in our psychological effort, and draws up, in cooperation with other agencies, the programs to achieve those objectives.
- 2. An Office of Goordination. This staff group helps the together the efforts already under way in the psychological field and follows through on plans and programs approved by the Board.
- 3. An Office of Evaluation and Review. This staff group obtains from other agencies of the government the intelligence estimates which the staff needs for its work and prepares evaluations of the effectiveness of American psychological operations.
- 4. An Resective Office for administrative matters.

In recruiting the permanent staff the Director was handisupped at the start, not only by the normal difficulties of recruiting able was in the government, but also by the shortage of experts in psychological strategy and specialists. Within the government there were able edicinistrators and specialists for the normal problems of peace. In the armed services scald be found many able efficiers trained in the arts of war. But newhere githin the la S Approved For Release 2005/04/28: CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410052-8

government — nor for that matter in the nation — was there any emsiderable number of men trained to sope with a situation which was "neither war nor peace." As we Americans had never dreamed of forcing this kind of conflict upon the world, we had made no preparations for it.

Q

SOM MISCONCEPTIONS

Delete 54 L

Perhaps more serious them any staffing difficulties was the lack of a body of thought an psychological strategy. Because of this there was a great deal of confusion regarding the role of the Board and its staff. Serious apprehensions developed in the minds of people in the established departments and agencies with regard to a possible surrender of traditional authority and responsibility. The result was that they viewed the creation of the Board with something less than unrestrained enthusiasm,

There were also many misconceptions which threatened to hamper the work of the Psychological Strategy Board. Perhaps the most widespread of these was the idea that the Board was to concern itself only with "word warfare". Those who believed this felt that the Board should confine its activity to explaining or explaining away — the decisions or actions of our government in the foreign field. They denied that the Board should have any interest in the decisions or actions themselves. It had to wait until the government moved, and then, for the benefit of foreign peoples, it would put the best possible interpretation on the move. The diplomate would make the political decisions, the military would make the someonic decisions—and the Beard would make the best of it.

At the other extreme was the belief that the mandate of the Psychological Strutegy Board covered just about everything werything from the decisions of the President to the hourly bulkeins on the Voice of America. Those who favored this belief wented the Board to be a super-agency which would make foreign policy develop strategic programs to influence other nations, sarry set propaganda operations, and in general have someond authority were all government agencies.

A third major obstacle to the Board's early efforts may the deep seated idea that it is impossible to plan an integrated strategy for our activities to influence the minds and wills of others. The officials who held this view contended that, because of constant international change, it was not practicable now view to attempt to put down on paper an adequate statement of our policies and objectives in other parts of the world, which could serve as an accurate and dependable guids. It followed that we could not hope to draw up plans and programs to earry out our national policies and reach our objectives. The situation was much too fluid to permit this. We had to wait and see what our opponents were going to do; then we could improvise a response.

A fourth impediment to the Board's work was the contention that our intelligence from sertain parts of the world was not pusclase enough to permit effective psychological planning and activity.

A fifth was the idea that we could not risk a bald initiative to improve our position in any part of the world until we had sempleted our military build-up. In the minds of those who hald this view, the seems concept of building extentions of strength had become distorted to mean exitantions of military strength. But we had achieved military equality with, or proponterance over, the Boviet blos, we could not do much to change the situation in the world to our adventess.

A sixth was the contention that any kind of "etectogic planning" must necessarily be military planning.

But perhaps the greatest misconception of all was the widespread impression that the struggle in which we are angaged is a "ould war" — a remote conflict which may go on for ten, fifty or a hundred years without our being able to de very much to bring it to a successful conclusion.

THE PSB CONCEPT

In the course of their work the Rirector and his staff reached a number of equalmentation on these matters.

Delele

In the first place, they quickly made up their minds that the Board's mandate covered a great deal pure them ward warfare. The task of the Board, they believed, was not to explain — or explain every — events but to help shape events. For this reason, they felt that the Board, though not primarily a policy-making body, should strive to obtain wise palicine and develop sound programs which would establish an identity between our aims and those of other free nations.

On the other hand, the Director and stuff did not accept the view that the Board should concern itself with "almost everything." They felt, particularly, that their instructions to stay out of operational matters were sound. As they saw it, if the Board became entangled in day-to-day decisions and tried to intervent in all fields of government activity, it would soon coase to be a strategy board and would become a "Board of Improvised Tastics."

Wish

In the third place the Director and his staff became convinced that it not only is possible but imperative to plan our efforts to influence men's minds and vills. When a nation projects its budgetary outlays at the rate of \$200,000,000 or more a day, it can afford to make up its policies and programs as it goes along. And when it is facing a ruthless opponent who has given helf a century of thought to this kind of conflict, it must bunkle down to the grim business of trying to think sheed of him. In the opinion of the Director and his staff, the U.S. has the capacity to make plans which will retain the psychological initiative for the free world and pin down our opponents on the defensive.

NOT.

Fourthly the Director and his staff did not share the view that intelligence deficiencies rule out effective psychological activity. With ingenuity and imagination, they believed, much effective work can be undertaken on the basis of our present knowledge. Later, as our information improves, adjustments can be unde in aims and methods.

In the fifth place, the Director and staff rejected the view that effective actions to relly our friends and confound our ensuine must exact the military build-up. They recalled that the Truman Rostrine, the Marshall Flow, the Borlin sixlift and other successful programs had been corried out when we had burely one

effective fighting division in Burope. As they can it, the teak for psychological strategy was to help erects situations of strongth, not to wait for their erection.

Sirth, the Director and the staff recognized that military strategy is a matter for the military, and they released military participation in the assessment of possible representations from our activities designed to influence the minds and wills of other peoples. But, they pointed out, we are in a strangels in which we hope that the application of military power will not be the designer factor. Strategic planning must go forward on the breadest lights and include all elements of pressure and persuasion if we are to present in our national effort to preserve peace and extend freedom.

Picelly, all the discussion within the staff painted toward the conclusion that this is not a sold war but a par of wills. The term, cold war, which had been useful in arousing the American people five years ago, is hernful today because it conveys the impression of a remote, impersonal conflict which we are powerlace to influence. The Director and his staff believed it is within our power to influence the course of this conflict. They believed that leadership could produce the will the the government, the Congress and the people to turn events in our favor and gradually strengthen the forces working for peace. Perhaps the greatest test and the major contribution, of the Psychological Strategy Board will be the development of such a collective will within the government.

These were some of the convictions which grew out of the warm of the Director and his staff.

4

THE PSICHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD TODAY

WISNERT

By the end of 1951, a large part of the staff had been guthered. The Director had at his disposal an able and dedicated group of men and women from the Department of State, the Department of Defense, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Material Security Administration and from private enterprise. It was contemplated that the staff would remain small by governmental standards. Altogether it would mamber about seventy-five persons, including professional, elerical and administrative personnal.

The efforts of this staff were supplemented by penals of experts drawn from all the agencies of government and by sorsultants from the outside. These efforts covered a wide field,—plans for worldwide informational activity in connection with developments in Kores, a broad strategic concept for the war of wills, a program for helping political fugitives from the from matters, an inventory of our resources for influencing man's minus and wills, a catalogue of useful research projects in the sealer schemes. (A emprehensive list of projects completed or began is given in the classified annexes.)

Bley S W #

As the work progressed the numbers of the Board developed a component spirit and a sense of purpose. Formal meetings to approve new projects and near reports on projects already under way were next about every three weeks. Informal lumcheon meetings were held every week for exchanges of views on the Board's problems. At the meetings each member of the Board same up with ideas for new avrivities and each member made his contribution to the reports which set new projects in motion.

Director had to leave to his successor many problems of staff procedure and organization, as well as problems of policy and strategy. But it can be said that the Psychological Strategy Board is definitely a going commerce. In a little more than half a year's time, the someopt of combined operations, with all agencies of government someorting their efforts toward a common end, has gained ground. Much work which might not have been undertaken if there had been no Board has been started and some someover results have been achieved. It still is too early to may whether the Board is the answer to the problem which it was designed to must, but it has made a worthwhile start.

The experience to date, however, has suggested a number of changes which would strengthen the Board without escentially altering its structure.

- In the Director should be made Chairman of the Board, possibly without a vote. This is because the Director alone is giving his full attention to psychological strategy; the three members of the Board have other responsibilities which take much of their time and energy. As Chairman of the Board, the Director would—is in a much stronger position to exercise the leadership which is needed.
- L. The Director should sit with the Matienal Security Council when it considers matters of interest to the Board. This would permit him to advise the Council on the psychological dangers or advantages of different lines of policy.
- i. The Director should informally mport to the President at regular intervals. Psychological strategy is and must remain ar instrument of the President and Commander in Chief. Frequent talks with the Director would help the President make more effective use of an instrument which can be valuable to him, and would put the driving force of his leaderscap behind our national psychological effort.
- The Chairman or a member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on said sit with the Board as its military advisor. This would eliminate delays and misunderstandings which erise when a sub-medinate officer sits for the Joint Chiefs.

These changes would be in the Board to do a better job. For the moment they appear to be all that is necessary. On the basis of the experience to date it does not appear desirable to give the Board a statutory base or to make other drastic modifications in its charter.

Nevertheless, it must be frankly recognised that a great deal more than the four adjustments recommended shows will be needed to assure success in the war of wills.

The numbers of the Board must be determined to emergine their mandate vigorously and effectively and to make full use of the available resources. Just as important, the staffs of their

departments and of other agencies of government must be ready to participate in the Board work with a source of the role of headership which invarian is called upon to play. This is no time to led rivalries between agencies or the passion for the lowest commen descriptor in ideas determine the coups of our antickel affort.

If failures of this kind should prevent the Board from effectively discharging its mendate, it will be messessay to reconsider the possibility of setting up a more controlled direction of the actional effort in the war of wills.

THE TASK MEAN

The year 1952 is a year of designer.

In some parts of the world the situation may grew verse before it gots better. We must remember that the government of the second strongest power in the world is working tireleasly to make things worse wherever it can. It is working to paramete the world with a spirit of hopelessuess, futility and despectation. It is working to turn non's hearts against us, to make mus feel that we Americans are the real disturbers of the peace, that we are deliberately plotting a new war. It is using the armed faree of its puppets and the threat of its own military power to assumplish what it comid never hope to assomplish by the force of its ideas.

We must meet this challengs — but we must meet it is our own way. Basically, this is not a conflict between the United States and the Soviet Union as nations. It is one of the great econvulsions of history which a band of conspirators in the Kremlin is seeking to expluit for its own ends. Our role, as we have seen, is to lead the peoples who prise freedom through this period of convulsion so that each nation, in its own way, may be free to sometic our common heritage in an ere of peace and human dignity.

This role of leadership sannot be not by implanted improvisation. We must remainer that in the field of international affairs no major decision or action can be taken by our government without some effect favorable ar unfavorable — on the hearts, the minds and the vills of men. Thus it is importaive that the policies we make, the plans we adopt, the acts we perform should be part of, and conform to, an ealightened psychological strategy decigned to establish a community of interests in the differing aspirations of America and the peoples who have the will to be free.

Our rale of leadership calls for the best in the character of the incrison people. It requires of our people of spirit of resolution, a villinguese to secrifice, an effort of understanding and a flew of generality — generality of the heart own more than generality of the pures. Fortess the truest psychological strategy is that we should so contact curvelves as a action that we shall appear worthy of the rate of leadership which has some upon we.

| Approved For Release | 2005/04/28 CI | A-RDP80R01 | 1731R003300 | 410052-8 ⁴¹ |
|----------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------------------|
| • • | • | | | a wel #3-1 |

| - | _ | - | • | | _ | _ | | |
|---|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| w | /4 | 1 4 | 2 | • | • | | L | ٠. |

| TOP SECRET | |
|------------|-----|
| | • . |

25X1

- 1. PROJECTS COMPLETED OR REGUL
 - A. Office of Plans and Policy
 - B. Office of Coordination
 - C. Office of Evaluation and Review
 - D. Director's Staff
 - I. Executive Office
- 2. PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE, APRIL 4, 1951
- PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE

TOP SECRET

| 2 | | V | , |
|---|---|---|---|
| _ | J | Λ | |

| TOP SPORT | |
|-----------|--|
|-----------|--|

APART 1

A. OFFICE OF PLANS AND POLICY

- 1. Completed plan for psychological operations in the event of a break-off in the Kerean armistice negetiations,
- 2. Completed plan for psychological operations in the event of success in the Korean armistics negotiations.
- Completed report on problems arising in connection with the repatriation of prisoners of war in Korea.
- 4. Completed guidance for overt propaganda in the event of general war.
- 5. Completed organisational plan for conducting payehological operations during general hostilities.
- 5. Completed inventory of resources available for psychomical operations planning. Began study of resources available to counter Soviet bloc blackmail (such as the detention of the American flyers in Hungary).
- 7. Began analysis of Mational Security Council papers and other documents to determine our national aims, purposes and approved programs.
- 8. Completed psychological operations plan for the reduction of Communist power
- 9. Began psychological operations plan for the reduction of Communist power

| 10. | |
|-----|--|
| 186 | |
| | |

TOP RECENT

25X1

25X1

- 11. Began preliminary work on plan to undermine the Seviet position in Eastern Germany and fit a united Garmany into a unified Europe.
- 12. Began preliminary work on plan to reduce Communist pressures in Japan and Southeast Asia.
- 13. In cooperation with other effices, started plan for psychological operations to exploit the strains and uncertainties among Communistratising from the eventuality of Stalin's death.
- 14. In cooperation with other effices began plan to derive maximum benefits from defection and disaffection of Soviet bloc nationals.

B. OFFICE OF COORDINATION

- 1. Established a pattern of relationships with the Department of Defense, Central Intelligence Agency and other Government effices.
- 2. Coordinated operational planning in execution of two plans covering Korean armistice contingencies (see A, 1 and 2).

| 3. | Completed a report and recommendations on efforts |
|----------|--|
| already | under way to reduce Communist strength |
| | This preceded properation of long-range plane, see |
| A, 8 and | 9). |
| | / Grantakad |

25X1

25X1

25X1

4. Completed report and recommendations on the problem of defectors and refugees from Iron Curtain countries already in Western Europe (this preceded preparation of long-range plan, A, 14).

- 5. Began plan for psychological sperations in the field of East-West trade.
- 5. Completed first stage of inquiry into social science research projects which might be useful in psychological strategy.

7. Began coordination with Voice of America

5. Carried out ecordinating and limison activities eenmacted with completed plans or plans in progress.

- 9. Began survey of United States overt foreign information programs in order to identify major problems.
- 10. Began development of procedures governing the Board's responsibility under NSC 10/5.

C. OFFICE OF EVALUATION AND REVIEW

- 1. Provided initial summary and analysis, with initial frame of reference, for inventory of resources available for psychological operations planning (see A, 6).
- 2. Propered summary and analysis section, including intelligence support and analysis of existing situation
 in support of psychological operations plan for reduction of
 Generalist strength no A, S).

3. Proposed

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. Propared summary and analysis section, including intelligence support and analysis of existing situation is in support of the psychological sperations plan for (see A, 9). reduction of Communist strength

25X1

- 4. Initiated preliminary work on plan to undermine Soviet position in Eastern Germany and fit a united Germany into a muified Europe; completed preliminary estimate of situation and began detailed summary and analysis in support of strategic planning project; contributed to establishment of terms of reference and strategic concept under which plan is being developed (see A, 11).
- 5. Initiated recommendation for preparation of a strategic plan for Japan; completed study on rignificant psychological factors in Japan; prepared initial recommendations as to scope and impact of Japanese Islands on Southeast Asia and other areas (see A. 12).
- 6. Contributed oral and written preliminary estimates for plan to reduce Communist pressures throughout Southeast Asia.
- 7. Began preparation of preliminary staff estimate of significant psychological factors in India with recommendation that it be considered for immediate action.
- S. Assumed jointly with the Special Assistant to the Director responsibility for the plan for psychological operations to exploit strains and uncertainties among Communists arising from the eventuality of Stalin's death (see 4, 1)).

| 3. Districted |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| 20P SHERRY |
|------------|
|------------|

| TOP SECRET | |
|------------|--|
|------------|--|

- 9. Initiated original Board action on plan for the explaintation of Seviet orbit occapoes; prepared terms of reference; provided continuing intelligence and policy support for planning Phase A (see B, 14). Assumed responsibility for plan to derive maximum benefits from the defection of Seviet blee nationals (see A, 14).
- 10. Prepared preliminary staff study of psychological situation in the Middle East with recommendations as to the terms of reference, scope, policy, and strategic concept within which planning should be conducted.
- 11. Initiated preliminary estimate of the situation, recommendations, and factual support for study of resources available to counter Soviet bloc blackmail (such as the detention of American fliers in Hungary).
- 12. Began evaluation of effectiveness of U.S.-U.K.-French disarrament proposal in the United Mations.
- 13. Completed staff study on methods and approaches for evaluating psychological situations and reviewing strategie plans.
- 14. Provided intelligence support, including daily eral briefings for all members of the staff and for all projects.
- 15. Propared preliminary staff study with estimate and recommendations on problems and prospects of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

| | 16. | passant |
|------------|-----|---------|
| TOP SHORET | | |
| | | |

-25X1

|--|

16. Arranged indestrination of staff numbers on functions and obligations of agencies concerned in psychological operations, including the arrangement of briefings by key numbers of those agencies for staff numbers.

17. Established procedures and relationships with other agencies to provide intelligence and policy support for PSB activities.

D. DIRECTOR'S STAFF

- 1. Began study of a bread strategic concept for the ourrest struggle.
- 2. Maintained limison with the Mational Security Council Senior Staff on reports in progress.
- 3. Initiated re-examination of adequacy of mechanisms for policies, planning and coordination in field of high-level, non-military deception.

L EXCUTIVE OFFICE

- l. Assisted the Director in developing a scheme of organisation for the Staff.
 - 2. Established permanent headquarters of the Board.
- Worked out with member agencies the means of finencing the operations of the Board, the preservement of supplies and equipment, and personnel policies.

| | and the same |
|----------|--------------|
| <u> </u> | Mark Street |

| TOP SECRET | |
|------------|--|
|------------|--|

7

- i. Worked out a table of organisation including all staff positions.
 - 5. Prepared a budget.
- 6. Provided administrative support for the Board, the Director, and the staff.

| TOP ENCERT | | | |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | 1 | | |

2/20/52

25X1